

1-07

Hyp: In conjunction with attack on Iran  
to Israel?

by US, after Israeli attack on  
Iran, provoking Iran attack on US ships?!



2-12-07 Tuesday

Shan — nines

Time for moral courage: risk-taking; strategic action

For what would you risk your life?

Crisis — before Nov 2008 / for 09 your career?

(cf. soldiers in Iraq)

CLEARANCE

Congress /  
Ethics

— the rules — split moral decisions;

Test of us — of our

— the bodies, genitals

— orders

— torture

(We) must not fail to <sup>democracy, and our desire</sup> to restore it

do everything we can — NV,

truthfully (truth-telling)

risk-taking — to keep

long

THIS PRES, VP, THIS ADMIN

FROM — DOING WRT IRAN

WHAT HE DID WRT IRAQ,

LATE 2001, 02, 03, 04,

05, 06,

your marriage?

children's education

(Dumbbell — won't risk

royalties

(Has he told Congress — in

closed session?

Intell Committee?

Rockefeller?

— not big cultural issues

(Risk pros — for torturing, in ticking bomb situation)

Dean

Stop Dean:

Congress

Media

House

Lawyers

UK — Labor — Blair

Church

(vs. Bin Laden)

Chavez

(vs. Iraqis from no threat)

NATO

WBs

Imprudence (Chung)

What will / did you do to avert from attack?



[ Problem: to rule out attack, even apocalypse,  
["is next 5-10 years: no crisis"]  
without "underlying negot - i.e. ]

(What makes it a crisis?)

"Conf & Chng are resolute enough,  
bold enough

to carry out an insane, criminal move

[ "Have next to deal with proliferation"

[ Bush: problem is proof. to lead guys -  
this is the way."

Ash Center, Perry (Clinton - Howe)

X [ How smoke out - make public - internal  
opposition by Gates & Rice (= David & Rogers?)  
Hardly? Poor?  
Have got VCS to testify?

(CFR) (GELB) (Hoo! Whiston panel!  
Koppel?

[What if Chng had been killed in Kabul?]

| What worked with NK is much more  
knowing with Iran,

Non-existence of secret <sup>program</sup> ~~spies~~ can be  
demonstrated - give information, ~~insurance~~

Drug disruption did work.



2-17-7

Will Dams challenge trial on farm?

Charge of genocide



Monday  
Hyp: 2-19-07 5:30 PM

Iran attack as a provocation <sup>initially</sup> (non-rec)  
to Iran's response

justifying COG

(strategy of "tension"!)

Fear what comes after an attack!

(Adm first on Iran!)

(Initial attack might be "surgical")



2-22-7

Santitas (you can conclude from article)

The President is simply lying

"We are not planning

("do not intend...")

Possible opponents:

for Rockefeller

Wick

Hore?

Cassidy Carter

ECK?

Edwards?

Out of line Cool?

signs of de Fazio

four bills

Back to:

four

de Fazio (Petr?)

Lee?

Woolley?

More On?

Kingman, Daniel, Halant, Kneaf

NYT ed?

VIPS

UK?

"WBS" — Odom, Wilson, Pillar, Clarke

generals

San Kordian

Hinaldi

UPJ?

Mag Street Group: Baker

LEAK NIE



2-22-07

Will an attack on Iran

make America safe? 02

save American lives (in Iraq) OR...

Reilly 2-22-07

Edwards: greatest mind that is Israeli attack  
on Iran

Peterson on attack: Move On, Weekly Clerk/IVAW,  
Peace Action

Haley 2-14: Must have Cong. auth.  
(if not - imprudent?)

London 2-23-07

Services sent to Gates: might improve if attack.

Opposed by Gates (!), Rice (!) (see Og/Beckstein  
Hoddy? = Nixon, VN!

Backed by Cheney (+ Bush)

(see N/NAK (+

2-22-07

Blain opposes

Fenigold for cutting funds

Gray

Bob Hall (call)

Pillon

[Re-activate Congress!

Salomon - But Perry to prevent others!

Beard: Court crisis on Iran

Webb

Hagel

Reid

(Hagel, Perry need for  
a TG incident

(see Webb on  
potential in Persian Gulf

A PERSIAN GULF INCIDENT, is in near future?

(1st attack provoked (34A-type action underway!)

2nd "attack" - "mis-interpreted"

(see shooting of Iranian airliner)



c-mail

2-24-07

"problem of ~~Don~~ candidates on ~~Don~~/Khal

PDA

Kucinich

Wes Clark

Gravel

Feingold



2-25-

W&K/H (Runk) always saw Pravda as  
inevitable

(join US / NWS position + use?)  
Were they wrong?  
Best way to adapt to this?

Feb 10

Paul Craig Roberts: We're heading for Poland (after  
Cyberowicz, Prague) without having a post-  
Russett's Fair police state

without a Emergency Act

(signing statutes

= fear of danger  
+ war

Reasons for interfering for antitrust reasons  
for interfering all other central. If they  
were faithful to the motto [or officials]  
B+C (+R) would have been interfered.

Roberts: Ult. + Constitutional,  
to B-C - if attack on law - interfered

[Coy. has no higher priority, challenge  
obligation, responsibility, then to  
investigate

remove B+C

remove measures: Nature Court

cult. or  
interfered

{ stop war is key (else?)  
avoid attack on law.

Feb 3

Perry: Should Bush be behind, this time,  
when he says he wants a dip. solution  
in Iran [or that threat of a Iran bomb is  
with 2 years - when Bush - is dying (double  
what US feeling now?)

was as "last  
month"

in 2001-03:



2-28-07

or - war now, under me - because later (I  
won't get the credit, or benefit;

Sales pitch for war (war propaganda)  
produced by WH  
convinced of press finger

An ad/PR campaign is underway  
("It's not Argentin")

Premier 1) USG change in policy as attractive  
is "off the table"  
withhold

may be true - withdrawable / irreparable (politically)  
("less desirable, overall")  
- the war  
prohib  
we want?!

2) Now you have me (+ Blair)  
internal

date, not.

(might / prob. would not go to  
war at all.

Wanted Gore, Kerry, Clinton have gone to  
war or from? or Bush I? Dale?  
(Kleinman - yes; Israeli influence on Clinton / Gore?)

(If Bush I were running against  
Bush II, I'd want to bond as for Kerry or Gore)

"NEGOT" BY THREAT

raids legit, to Talk of "all options" (uses; aggression)  
reduces for, laws  
war / threatened for  
conveys at least.  
- seems anti-prohib; is pro-prohib (+ pro-use)  
- is an attack on int. law

NK is using  
its weapons  
already!

(to the good!

So - should have got war?!

(Wolfe)

defines vague state  
(in bond)

is we dreamt on the  
table for bond?

Non-aggression pact? Settlement?



2-25-07

Stopping them

Resignation is not enough — before or after  
attack.  
and  
Answer, leaks, without does — not enough.

Closed testimony to Intel Comm. — not enough.

And Service?

after testimony?

~~Adviser~~ to judiciary

Does to anti-attack Congresspersons

Person on VCS to — appear formally  
— testify to Congress

DOCS

Warnings of Person Help Services (Res.)

Liberal press advice:

Kennedy attack

Dickens

Shirley — Ken? Steward?

Companion contributors?

Alan Fox? (Peters)  
Obama

but Congress loose?

Edwards

Cong: Focus on them

get Kennedy, DeFazio, co-sponsors behind her bill

Letter? warning of impeachment (51?)

Can a Repub suit, to neutralize him?!!

Liberal poster? Can he really control these issues?  
Is his threat without ties to him?!



To generals ready to resign:

If that: responsibility is to do your best  
to stop it

truthfully + NV

expose it

oppose it openly

There are arguments/reasons why not to do this

BUT PRESERVING YOUR OWN CAREER SHOULD  
NOT BE ONE OF THEM.

Crisis do demand of: willingness to sacrifice careers,  
we need (Profiles in) Courage official

This can't wait till 2009!

(or, election year, 2008)

see  
Moral  
Byrd  
(23 v. strong  
133

[ Could Ahmad. be persuaded:

2. to accept Holocaust-like Khmeri!
1. to clarify/withdraw any threat to Israel
3. Obama father against neo: <sup>distort</sup>

[ Hypo: targeting "routes of supply" from Iran to Iraq  
(Herod) is to preempt Iranian support to  
Shiite ~~off~~ (or Sunni!) after US attack  
on Iran

(cf. Ahmad's "ethical dilemmas" - w/out personal sacrifice



To generals ready to resign:

If that: responsibility is to do your best  
to stop it

truthfully + NV

expose it

expose it openly

There are arguments / reasons why not to do this

BUT PRESERVING YOUR OWN CAREER SHOULD  
NOT BE ONE OF THEM.

Crisis as defined by: <sup>demands</sup> willingness to sacrifice careers,  
we need (Profiles in) Courage official

This can't wait till 2009!

(or, election year, 2008)

see  
Moral  
Byrd  
(23 J. King  
133

[ Could Ahmad. be persuaded:

2. to accept Holocaust - like Khmeri!
1. to clarify / withdraw any threat to Israel
3. Obama father against nukes! <sup>distress</sup>

[ Hypo: targeting "routes of supply" from Iran to Iraq  
(Herod) is to preempt Iranian support to  
Shiite ~~off~~ (or Sunni!) after US attack  
on Iran!

(cf. Ahmad's "ethical dilemmas" - w/out personal sacrifice



2-25-07

Wanda Samuels says: We can't attack after  
embroider has proceeded in mass,  
because of radioactivity?

What does it take to hunt on ME +  
me proof and race? inc. from (Tenggen)  
without an attack on Iran  
Art. VI (stop violating it)

BT  
Rag

Sunday: JAMAIL

(so for 3-17?)

Cohn  
Cabrera  
(PDS)

B folders Kory  
Elen/Joanna  
Common Dreams borders

Monday:

Libby

UN Sec. Council

Heath UK Papers

NYT

Ritter

Rosen

truthout

Iran Cole

Memorandum

Everett

Parry

Jamail

morning shows

ACIL

Sam Smith

Alar Korte

Underwood

Pawel

Thiffen  
Markman  
Kriger



2-26-02

(Haram) Is US provoking Sunni-Shia  
split - in Iraq

in region, would - to isolate Iran,  
weaker - could region change  
spoke off Khuzestan?

and trying to provoke Iran  
- to attack us

and/or - to attack Iran

I have got full support in Congress  
(and C2 (Christian Grovits) has long, all-  
out war

Syria

Libanon

What of: Is. region in Pak?

Are programs in Gulf, Saudi, Egypt?

After attack (esp. we attack) a Iran -

? Russian + China - mean Iran

- neutralize Iran

- help with we program

- GIVE NOGS

(now? to prevent  
attacks?)



2-25-07

Sy:

- How sure is he ~~Israel~~ won't initiate  
the war - or "threat" to, "force" US  
position

(as if we will stop them?)

CAS will? Can Congress / on aid?

"What would an Israeli attack mean  
for US aid to Israel?"

Iraqi airspace?

Policy of Mubiki gov on use of  
Iraqi airspace?

or bases in Iraq?

Relations with US gov - warning?

Ask Murt: Even give a US l-t promise  
on bases in Iraq.

Kleene

What of Iran?

Does US expect demand attack on Iran?

Could it survive from bank?

Israel?

or "want" that be precluded?

(vs. China)



2-26-7

What is danger to US (interests?)  
of a nuclear Iran?

How could it export its (increased)  
security/influence?

to what ends, effect?

How could it threaten Israel?

(greater support to Higballed (10?)  
and Hamas?)

What are land?

Egypt?

Should HAK/RWB/(McN? 69)/N vision  
of inevitable conflict be accepted—  
or not?

(Premises were against all <sup>potential</sup> hostiles  
(except Russia & China!))

Pak?

(Argentina?

Brunei?

UK?

Taiwan?

~~#~~

Can "hostiles" be <sup>own allies were</sup> pointed out by everyone  
else but the?

Will Iran be tipping point?

(Is. was not

or, India/Pak

(When and from "threats"?)

Could Khomeini STEP BACK? (NK)



Admitted talk of Holocaust + "erasing"  
Israel (a message he was unwilling  
to commit definitely)

here, recognizing Israel's "right to  
exist" or existing V (Hamas)

(WJ) does this support (bin) Hamas?

Could it stop? (2003 offer)

accept / recognize Israel in '67 borders  
(vs. Hamas) (offer)

Could it Khanawi assert his (actual?)  
central of foreign / int. policy:  
diplomacy or straighten out  
Abbas. ?!

Can't then live without "one option"  
in S-P. ?



2-28-07

Iran should not (be allowed?) get nuclear weapons. (Rather: throw out inspectors)

How prevent this?

us. Ritten

Not by attack.

2) bilateral negot (w/ NK?)

(b) De-legitimize (if not, "criminalize"?)

nukes; global prohibition on prolif. and retention of nukes —

(do we leave NK with nukes?

Pak? Russia etc.

strong, fast, reciprocated mount towards O!

Each use immediately: now, us. Iran  
Vice

(If threats don't end soon, without war, game against prolif is hopeless, is short-run.

(Yes, if Iran gets nukes — in 3-8 years —  
game against prolif is hopeless;  
but attack spheres that day...

"when Iran gets nukes, or is attacked, prolif  
is unstoppable — which comes first

(+ I would say — if prevent USG threats/war  
continue to be overblown — a year, a month...?

Wind up "counter-prolif" (Carter/Perry (1993 Korea, + policy)  
litro

see Osiris — NOT a success.



2-28-07

Does Osiang attack slow, or speed up,  
Iraq program?

Would SH have been as close to a bomb  
as he was in 1990, without Osiang?

see N are threat to Iran, 1971

"Should" Nassim have attended Division in 1967?!

Would that have delayed bomb badly as of 1973?

---

Trient program: How will it go?

(see FU debate in Germany: 1999?)

---

If we hold off attack on Iran (and thus also, new attack)  
till Jan 08

we will buy still more time to reverse  
course

If we don't block attack under Bush,  
we will (probably) "allow" reverse course  
(even if our imperial ambitions collapse  
economically — fast — we won't  
denuclearize — or democratize  
— see Russia!

(at "best" will become  
like Russia today — or, a  
milder and Franco/Pisarchi/  
Brazil/Argentina/Armenian  
(Colony) regime



Colusso: There are notes in addition to option  
for we weapons to have we. united cap.  
that off to Kuwait<sup>\*</sup>, Japan<sup>†</sup>, Brazil<sup>†</sup>, Netherlands  
and Penn 5

(and Argentina<sup>†</sup>, Australia<sup>†</sup>, South Africa<sup>†</sup>)

and in addition to the own energy needs

(who also want we option!) (There is "about half" one of  
(and for Japan's improving plant) there! But not we. would  
see list of reasons: determined to oppose option  
than there.

(What of India, France, NK, Pol, NK? not for  
the most (?) have such caps, see Penn 5 —  
not only for weapons!)

To Ritter: if goal is regime change — how will attend  
do that?

To MHA + SH — is there any hint that Bush still  
believes this? If not, ...?

My hypo: also — provide for retal, which gives  
us: Expe dictatorship, deterrence  
and draft (opposed by blacks, too?  
Confederacy: segregation;  
(and new testing) racial  
profiling...

A. (We will be in business in May in year 2009 (and long beyond  
B But we might not have attended for. If we have:  
(After we win from Syria: where else?)

using  
the new?

Pakistan?

(ADM

Does Russia fight back in Stans? Caspian...

Colombia? (Venezuela?)

(after from new  
missiles.)

BS



Did Israel have "the right" to establish a  
Jewish state in Pal., ask expelled Poles and refuse  
to allow them to return?

(Did European settlers have "the right" to exterminate  
Indians and take their land?

(Mexican War?


Louisiana / Alaska Purchases: yes,

(to ... ?)

Do indians have a "right" of armed  
resistance?

Terror? (I would say, NO - no one does)





2-28-07

Is Hersh's article being acted on immediately  
in Congress?

By other reporters?

In other nations? (goose)



Goodman into 2-28-07

Pat: How get dearings on Hersh/Ritter/  
1

Priority: from

(upstream if ...) - need a law, a Bohr

Amendment

(stoppage come action? 34A, Hersh...

(Which of them are intended to provoke an  
attack on our ships? 34A-type?!

Margoson!

DeLoe patrols?!

(not proving - independent (yet)

MORE REPORTING

(fossil; Schen;  
Parentis;

outside from  
zone

- see Drury

(Doesn't want a vote in defining war:

have the control vote?

or, leader oppose, even if they could win?

(prob. hold: 1st sufficient)

- Get revision on Ritter, Hersh assertions, as well as <sup>small</sup> analyses

(testing? look to Congress?

NIE? to Congress! (from...?)

\* compel testing from Reproducts, on Hersh

statements on from Bohr Group }

Krueger? Get "HAK Group" to oppose IRAN }

(Col. Odum; Scovcroft; By;



2-28-07

VTPs

- Bring out and support panel (of Cong action)  
of "Iron doves" in Adv. vs. Chung & Bush & Abras:

Gates (!) Rice (!) Hoadly? (Powell?)

Wilkinson, Pillar (Missel?) Military: Ang/Navy

[see balance of the Doves in VN  
and Iraq

NOW?

Lesson of VN: 1964-65

67

Kant - Rogers!

NSC-5

Provocations:

Northwards } Chung-I  
Mungoon } de Soto?  
34A }

Oct 1964 - JTM, WPB  
(Lebanon)

done by a dove

No, I don't think we did 9-11.

But we did

ask McNamara, or just quote him:

Feb 1965

see me, in Feb 1965!

quote  
54,  
Ritter,

Pat. People think, attack on Iran is a bad  
idea, not that like the Surge:  
not that it's apocalyptic

(Iraq did not retal. on US/Iran, any more  
than VC. And it cannot be overstated, see  
"like VN or Iraq" (or Thailand, Panama) (Nicaragua)



If SA is right

then by our planning to provide an  
attack by them on OBL

as in Bura-Rain U-2

1964-65...  
WE NEED "DSM" NOW Charles, etc. now Charles  
spoke at  
Scheuer?

- JFK in Oct 1 1962 had not decided,  
as "planned, intended" to invade Cuba  
by Oct 20 - but wanted to have 24-hour  
option (see now, at least)

(N had decided? on Nov 3, 62 - but  
backed off.

from units option

(and may be purposing intend!

(Rubbidge: much more desires to attack than the  
Iraq (and that could have been much  
worse! CW, Baghdad... demand...

as JCS announced  
mobilization

If Rain wants and wants a draft - by and  
(intend.) attacks by them or AQ...

Wants BUT - demands of empire/oil don't  
control attack in them - see attitude of  
Kato + Rain... (down on VN  
Iraq...)

If other republics were in - Dem, Repub -  
they wouldn't be taking these risks

So there wouldn't be a draft as strong as CBI's wouldn't  
to mobilization?



Colasano/

to have 1- several hours

- How long does it take from the time  
IAEA inspectors are kicked out, and higher  
enrichment begins?

- If Iran has warheads, it can run up <sup>+ Haros</sup> Wg vs. Israel  
more,

without fear that if Israel responds on Iran,  
and Iran responds on Israel (non-nuc)  
that Israel will attack with nucs  
(Trump, now)

or support India in drug war...

(Iran was neutralize threats of FC, and  
of major non-nuc attacks  
(from US, Is; Pak?  
don't affect (?) relations with Saudis,  
Egypt...)

If disputes can be settled, of agents with  
Iran, and Is/Pak, and Lebanon/~~Spain~~ - Is....

---

Would NYT publish SH pieces - several  
as they are? (and with the context?)

Wash Post?

Wg is he not on network TV?

Wg any document?

SH on Iran Stewart



Main On / PSR (sol)

What to do?

Amintjato (call...)

Cut-off make lean  
(inprochable) (?)

---

Calasso Reef important is from  
Kunjin get elsewhere!

(heat...)

By an Mark John



3-3-7 <sup>Demo</sup> <sup>US public</sup> <sup>Butler</sup>: To get what "we" (not Admin) want  
without making any concessions that the  
Admin want give (in contrast to NK

But the change/scene in  
Bush report. feeling before  
Iran gets weapons, or  
before/without a war.

you need a threat of war.

you need to perceive that a war <sup>[when?]</sup> would  
be a) legit, and (b) necessary, and (c)  
better than either making concessions  
or "allowing" Iran to get arms (in 3-8 years)

Explicitly, Demo accepts urgency.

Iran will be a threat to our troops in  
another country (Iraq) and to US, and to  
Israel (as Iraq never was) after we  
attack: It is not today, nor ~~that~~ in future  
before an attack (even with arms, 3-8 years  
from now: or not as much - to do - as after an attack).  
+ to oil

md

@ Hampshire, 2000

Krup inspectors in Iran (unlike NK: as Iraq, 1998!)

Iran is a challenge to US legitimacy  
in the oil-rich ME

(vs. GP - legitimacy isn't equally  
important)

Lee

HR # 70?

HR 14 (Jones)



March 3

✓ 1. We cannot be on a higher spirit ~~than~~ of  
use when we are using our weapons

as we are now, with emergency of  
Congress

✓ (How Congress can participate in open  
threats before?

editorials?

✓ 2. We (Pres, Congress, —) are promoting brief  
and use of our war now

(and by our current use of our  
conventional forces —

(dual-purpose forces)

and by

✓ 3. The motion, "all options — i.e. are options —  
are on the table"

[Not ~~for~~ for them!

But NK, yes! .

(What if we put its retal. options  
on the table?)

(and note — we've removed the conventional  
option from our reports with NK: (or Pak)  
The contrast, noted by all, further <sup>(China)</sup>  
promotes brief:

✓ (Does Seoul find its own "weapons" — for  
anything but now related to one attack? Pak?  
China? (Even UK? (see was listed by



○

1A EA?  
to Colossus, for examination;  
these all apply to a new codes program,  
plus...

---

✓

What Cong. is currently putting on the table —  
~~what~~ what the Admin wants — is a presidentially  
initiated Executive or unilateral move.

✓

That is the most "effective" report that,  
when the Pres is (as usual, but not necessarily)  
more or more likely to carry it out  
(although, direct it) than Congress

✓

esp. when the Pres. can cover the "Washington  
thing" — which is rare, as never before.

✓

(Can it be that Bush and his aides  
are not aware of the power, the  
bargaining value, of the unquestioned,  
universal — and well-recognized — image of his  
(+ China: "the bad cop and the worse  
cop.")

✓

Cong. could go one step further in  
strengthening Bush's bargaining power: they  
could do what they did in Oct 02  
[and ever since — e.g. in Military Com. Act. 02] <sup>Parties act, FISH, (Lortie?)</sup>  
and for some explicit reasons (which  
Holley is still defending):

Delegate this power to the Pres explicitly,  
(against the Court) open-ended in time and scope



3-3-7

✓ Wf do what they are doing, verbally, and not do that — "for better bargaining" ?!

✓ Is that too dangerous and irresponsible, in the eyes of the constituents & on allis

(another TGR)

○ (Can UK parliament do what Cong. can do — the opposite?

○ What coalition partners would we have this time?

○ (The same "new Europe"?

Which not? any new ones?

Israel?

○ Turkey? (Will Saudi? Egypt? Air bases, Qatar, Kuwait: Soviet vs. China? What repression will there be?

to use?

(or maybe, on the other hand, NATO is cooperating, already

Will this help promote democracy in states around us? or much greater repression, or civil strife?

A Pak/Toliban regime, are — and?

"Delicate balance": Soviet vs. Pak? What do we do then?

Effort as an challenge?

○ A new regime may "tilt" to AQ!

What if Saudi? (not new — yet!

China in SA, next to Iraq?

Do we want Soviet to get Bomb — after we attack Iran? Egypt? Attitudes to Israel THEN?!



3-3-7



(not in Christen file)

○ Chung: <sup>we</sup> He would understand if Israelis want  
apologize?

○ (How does this fit with SHT report?)

○ Why does he oppose this? We get  
crises without becoming?

Why not a TG incident: a narrow  
Israeli attack? another Omering? PROVOKE from...

Or, must the war be Iraqi (over)  
airforce?

Can they defend on the over?

○ [If the guns (or an attack in Iraq (or US)  
is blamed on them -

Bush won't "avoid" any approval,  
even with a Bill.]

○ [to PDS - How can he - as after 9-11 -  
even doubt believe will not attempt to  
run another "false flag" op (or,  
catalytic war) even/as avoided,  
and get away with it? (and expect to).  
(esp. after Iran retal -  
not expected, or experienced,  
with Iraqi attack - any more  
than VN.]

except SU  
China-II,  
Barrin

This is first target that can  
do that - see other crises and

interventions: VN, Korea, Cambodia, Laos,  
Guatemala, [Chilean did!] Panama, (Libyan did,  
in Lib. - and

more and

threatened ships]



✓  
I propose: to remove Pres-initiated  
attack — conventional or one — from  
the Table

○ (and ~~there~~ are attacks on any  
non-are country NSA

(BW? CW? :)

○ (What is Russia on this? NSAs more  
at the NWS?  
not harmful!)

Yes, this weakens the Moscow Point

✓ (it doesn't remove it — even without  
open deferral

— no signing statement! (not, veto!)

✓ by Pres; TG

"We do it?"

But the reasons for doing it are:

✓ 1) The Pres + VP are are Moral.

This judgment should not be threatened  
by any more than the assertions.

✓ 2) There is strong evidence in testimony  
that — as — 2002 — B+C do not intend,  
explicit or want a negotiated solution,  
but can attack and regime change —  
and believe that — that an attack  
will give them a favorable regime change

✓  
3

Pressure is not (?) likely to work on them.



✓ ~~Not~~ They are not bluffing with the threats —  
it is the "deploring" that is a bluff.

(Not Mr. for Reel, or Kato, but see Paul,  
(David & Reyes!))

Cong. should not vote a new delegation:

It should remove be Congressionally — pre-  
delegated, presidentially-initiated way  
"from the table."

|| (Hypothetically, they can wait on Cong-  
authorized threat on the table

(NVC? NO!)

I would say not — because of  
effect now on probif and use by others  
(and the one "markers", wot forward)

✓ But they would have to remove the  
one stone to remove it — which I would  
strongly favor.

See implications of statement of Perry  
(Chief of Keviken) et al —

unless if there is an attack;  
or pretty unless if the support  
can't threats

(as each of the has done in  
past: Perry/Keviken...



3-3-07

Continue.

There is a world opinion / norm on this —  
which we are violating.

Press The convergence of arms weapons, <sup>seems.</sup> ~~provid~~  
(NK, Iraq, Iran  
nuclear: Bosnia/Pak tests

Iraq 90-91 (clean then known)

NK tracks

SU stockpile

and terrorism (in US) (delayed)

and the down democracy

(banned to come: with HAC/N attention  
and USC policies



NUSA. 8-3-27

Our relation to <sup>London's</sup> SA and to Park  
is like (at one remove)

SA + Park relation to AQ

(9, to elements in this society that  
~~the~~ one friendly to AQ):

We support regimes that include elements  
who support AQ directly.

SA regime does this (PDS) the very business  
support Mafia in Chicago: as "protection money".  
(is modern to threats?! or) to keep hostility  
directed at others (us!)

(?  
We support SA regime to keep the trading  
personally with us? to keep these same  
elements from overthrowing the and trading  
better than to attack "us" -  
US, instead:

independently of USG/corps.

However, we support Park regime but it  
be replaced by the elements that (hate us and)  
support AQ directly



NUSA.

8-3-07

Our relation to <sup>Land's</sup> SA and to Pak  
is like (at one moment)

SA + Pak relation to AQ

(Q, to elements in this society that  
~~the~~ are friendly to AQ):

We support regimes that include elements  
who support AQ directly.

SA regime does this (PDS) the very business  
support Mujah in Chicago: as "protection money".  
(is madness to threats?! or) to keep hostility  
directed at others (us!)

(?  
We support SA regime to keep the trading  
relationship with us? to keep these same  
elements from overthrowing the and trading  
better than they attack "us" =  
US, instead:

independence of USG/corps.

However, we support Pak regime but it  
be replaced by the elements that (hate us and)  
support AQ directly



3-4-7

Ment Halp  
Laguer

sign-on letter

Further answered re

2 weeks ago

stopped talking

Open Society Policy Center  
Defense Approp.

ment-up bill

preston on N

Mike Amity



Michael Amity 7 PM Sunday 3-4-7

Keeping her provision as a bargaining  
chip - saying, "he is considering..."

Delors would support her provision if it  
was in the bill...

No one would confirm

no one will say it will stay in the bill...

One-line

Mutua + Delors

considering pretty is

Feb 14, AP story

Daniel Okey a Committee  
Tuesday

OSP (5010) 4)

getting Tuesday

Am Central Centre

e-mail list

Don Coulter

Mike Shedy <sup>asst. to?</sup> - has been say - don't  
count on its rest

March 8th - work-up Thurs.

Senate 2 weeks later

House: limit amendment

Webb, Peabody, ELK, Byrd



3-7

Klan

What would consequences be for US soc  
al "influence" if USG let go of bases  
in Iraq, and Iran did get nukes, or was  
induced not to, Internal nationalist;  
How?]  
but no attack on  
regime change...

US stopped efforts to control oil? subtle

What US elites are prepared to accept this?  
Public?

---

But - what benefits does Bush really get from  
(more) attack on Iran?

---

Heard are now "tripped" back to backing <sup>Sanders, Pat</sup> Soviet -  
including Al Qaeda! - us, Shia, as in  
1980 (Center us. 50 is off, Iraq us. Iran...  
with AQ  
1990

---

Stop Iran attack: long time for move to Abolition  
(see HAK group) as answer to protest  
and for restoring a republic.

"To the Republic." (google republic?)

Nation 2nd, Feb 5 (Schell?)

(needs better analysis of VN/N - Iraq)

Investigate, rethink, cut funds  
(support of troops?)



Feb 21

3-10-7

Iran "Summit"  
3-10-7

McCain: "Only thing worse than war with  
Iran [or a "cap"! ] is a a war-and peace."  
↓

~~the~~ Hilary agrees!

So problem will remain after Bush

Today

4 M/day - consumes 2 M/day.

Pop. has doubled in past 27 years;

seems, Iran will have no oil for export.

Seems to promise more energy.

Strat. interests: are neighbors

increasing involvement of US (Iraq, Afghanistan, Persian Gulf  
Caspian region)

(Is it the "Persian" Gulf or "Persian Gulf"  
— or American lake?)

US open air of regime change

Perle: Wal was want to go to Tehran.

They are interested in building infrastructure  
to have a cap

a detriment — (but this regime area, not "cap"!)

Perle's circles:

"want to be able to preempt a preemptive  
attack" (Oh, oh: dangerous.

They saw: what happened to SH, what did not  
happen to Kim Jong-il.

(but Khamenei — fatwa?)

(book? message?)

All Akra Rock — when Mohamed ascended to Ararat



I Iran has any legal right for enrichment —  
but is it wise to press for this now, even  
under IAEA inspection

(A opponent Shah — Bayan, Mottford, CAFEI, Bonademi

Iran is now more democratic than Saudi (Egypt? Jordan?  
kill democracy good if attacked Kuwait? Syria?

Iranian women

Abolished would  
like it (?)

Abdullah? (Iraq?)

are not anti-US —

only ones in ME

Ch 7 may wish  
to interview  
you at the  
break.



4-5-7

Can a president be prevented from  
leading us into a disastrous, aggressive,  
unconstitutional war (Iran)

That AIPAC wants? (media  
Congress  
donors)

Could he be impeached for it?

(or, for a set of foreign policy?  
on Korea, Vietnam, Iraq)

Would he "lose Congress"? Funding?

Would he lose support of

Dem?

Dem leaders?

Dem candidates?

NYT? WP? Networks?

(For use of veto, after Iran response?)

Draft?

(Would 22nd amendment apply in 2008?)

(see Chang)

(Hagballed attacked Israel, by

(after by attacked?)

Did that make Hag. our enemy?

— Can we/Congress/candidates end/withdraw  
altogether from a war that if AIPAC  
doesn't want us to?

[us. MHA/Hillary: We must aim to achieve their goals  
without US occupation, (Cassidy/Agots/ON...)]  
Polanski  
Arab Regime



4-5-7

Is attack on Iran wanted by

Israel/  
AIPAC?

(MHA "don't  
know"; they want  
pres. thrust)

Turkey? (Does the lobby  
line up with AIPAC?)

Summit? (Sondis...)

Christian Zionists?  
Evangelicals?

(Can Christians take on Rapports?!)

Oil camps? (No - Phyllis Bennis)

USAF? Navy? (Power?)

Can AIPAC be ended?

By pres?

Ston

(Reverie)

Allen Fox ...

Crucial: next and  
Iran attack;

stop using war (J Crin. ignores)

use of war other than

not just Iran;

Pale, Israel, (fmr: N)

Not true that "American  
opposed all policy."

and TR: Merrill Waller's letter

(I'm an American  
Stonically)

In ethnic background,  
Reverie finish, not  
proof of it.

anti-Semite? about

anti-AIPAC, yes

step forward for Type I Out...  
"good policy"

(w/ "securities")